

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/016,726	10/30/2001	Jose Zayas-Rivera	ALPHA-0200	4495		
7	590 03/06/2003					
Patent Law Offices of Heath W. Hoglund			EXAMINER			
256 Eleanor Roosevelt San Juan, PR 00918			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1614			
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/016,726 Applicant(s)

Zayas-Rivera et al.

Examiner

Kevin E. Weddington

Art Unit 1614



	The MAILING DATE f this communication appears	on the c	over she	et with	the correspondence address	•
Period f	or Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXP	IRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM	
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Ir	n no event, h	owever, ma	ay a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within					
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply	and will exp	re SIX (6) N	ONTHS	from the mailing date of this communication.	
- Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of					
earned Status	petent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 22,	2002				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🗓 This ac	tion is no	on-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u>				is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	<u>_</u>			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-34				is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims		are :	subjec [.]	t to restriction and/or election requirement	
	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/arc	ea) 🗆 a	ccepted	or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 .	approved b) disapproved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this O	ffice acti	ion.		
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority u	nder 35	U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been	received	i.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been	received	in Ap	plication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burd	eau (PCT	Rule 17	7.2(a)}.		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)[_]	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) L						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority	under 3	S U.S.	.C. 99 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm	ent(s) rice of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 🗆 1~	erview Sum	mary IPT	O-413) Paper No(s)	
~	tice of Dreftsperson's Petent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	_		-	nt Application (PTO-152)	
_	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Ot			····	
_						

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-34 are presented for examination.

Applicants' response filed November 22, 2002 has been received and entered.

Accordingly, the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 103 as set forth in the previous Office action at pages 2-4 are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rendered vague and indefinite because the applicants' response dated November 22, 2002 states the claim recites only the perillyl aldehyde alone, but the claim recites a composition comprising perillyl aldehyde and one inactive ingredient. Is claim 1 a composition claim or a single compound claim. Note line 2 of claim 1 states, "and the at least one inactive ingredient", what is meant by this phrase. The phrase does not make any sense. Claim 6 is rendered vague and indefinite by the same phrase "wherein the at least one alcohols". Claim 11 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "the at least one inactive ingredient comprises water". Claim 15 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "and the at least one inactive ingredient". Claim 17 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "wherein the at least one alcohol".

Art Unit: 1614

Tween 60--. Claim 21 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "the at least one inactive ingredient comprises water". The remaining claims are rendered indefinite to the extent that they incorporate the above terminology.

Claims 1-34 are not allowed.

The reference listed on the enclosed PTO-892 is cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner K. Weddington whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Kevin E. Weddington
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington

March 3, 2003